

**From:** Don Davis  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/23/02 10:24am  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

To whom it may concern:

It appears that the Proposed Final Judgment allows significant anticompetitive practices to continue, and would delay the emergence of competing Windows-compatible operating systems. My opinion is that the Proposed Final Judgment is not in the public interest, and should not be adopted as it presently stands.

1. The PFJ doesn't consider the issue of Windows-compatible competing operating systems.
2. The PFJ contains misleading and narrow definitions and provisions.
3. The PFJ fails to prohibit anticompetitive license terms currently used by Microsoft.
4. The PFJ as written seems to lack an effective enforcement mechanism.

You need to toughen it up quite a bit. Examine any proposals from Microsoft with an eye toward how their proposal would restrict the effect of the judgment to smaller contexts and fewer products, and then adjust the language to broaden the effect to more (and future) products and larger contexts.

Regards,

Don Davis / Tel: 937.235.0096